

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/803,941 | IKESHIMA, KOICHI | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tamra L. Dicus | 1774 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tamra L. Dicus.

(3) E. Cabot C

(2) C. Kelly

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/10/04

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Cynthia Kelly
SPE 1774

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: App. pointed to machida outer wall having a discontinuity portion. The Examiner said the machida does have portions of the outer wall.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

App. agreed there is no statement that teaches the method of dipping & applying slurry within the Specification. App. says its known in the art how to apply coatings i.e. dipping. Applicant agrees Kumazawa doesn't teach crystalline cordonite on outer wall. The Examiner stated the materials are the same and does the same process (dipping & firing) thereby producing the same crystalline cordonite when fired. Applicant agreed to materials when fired make crystalline cordonite. Machida & Kumazawa because no circumstances

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

portion (Entirely around the wall) is taught in the prior art. Therefore, we will drop the rejection. The office will reconsider the declaration to Kotan